

# Ectopic pregnancy and miscarriage: diagnosis and initial management

NICE guideline

Published: 17 April 2019

Last updated: 17 June 2026

[www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng126](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng126)

## Your responsibility

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals and practitioners are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or the people using their service. It is not mandatory to apply the recommendations, and the guideline does not override the responsibility to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual, in consultation with them and their families and carers or guardian.

All problems (adverse events) related to a medicine or medical device used for treatment or in a procedure should be reported to the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency using the [Yellow Card Scheme](#).

Local commissioners and providers of healthcare have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual professionals and people using services wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with complying with those duties.

Commissioners and providers have a responsibility to promote an environmentally sustainable health and care system and should [assess and reduce the environmental impact of implementing NICE recommendations](#) wherever possible.

# Contents

Overview .....	5
Who is it for? .....	5
Using this guideline .....	6
Support and information .....	8
1.1 Support and information for early pregnancy complications.....	8
1.2 Ongoing support and information .....	8
Early pregnancy assessment services .....	10
1.3 Availability and service setup .....	10
Symptoms and signs of ectopic pregnancy and initial assessment.....	12
1.4 Presentation, assessment and referral .....	12
Diagnosis of viable intrauterine pregnancy and of tubal ectopic pregnancy .....	16
1.5 Ultrasound to determine location of pregnancy.....	16
1.6 Using ultrasound scans for diagnosis of viable intrauterine pregnancy .....	16
1.7 Using ultrasound scans for diagnosis of tubal ectopic pregnancy.....	18
1.8 Human chorionic gonadotrophin measurements in women with pregnancy of unknown location.....	21
Management of miscarriage.....	23
1.9 Threatened miscarriage.....	23
1.10 Expectant management .....	25
1.11 Medical management.....	30
1.12 Surgical management .....	34
Management of tubal ectopic pregnancy .....	36
1.13 Information for people who have an ectopic pregnancy.....	36
1.14 Expectant management .....	36
1.15 Medical and surgical management.....	38
1.16 Performing laparoscopy .....	40
1.17 Salpingectomy and salpingotomy.....	40

Anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis.....	42
1.18 Use of anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis.....	42
Terms used in this guideline.....	45
Early pregnancy.....	45
Expectant management.....	45
Pregnancy of unknown location .....	45
Recommendations for research .....	46
1 Early pregnancy assessment units .....	46
2 Ultrasound for determining a viable intrauterine pregnancy.....	47
3 Effectiveness of progestogens in women with recurrent miscarriage .....	47
4 Effectiveness of different progestogens in women at risk of miscarriage.....	48
5 Management of miscarriage .....	48
6 Comparison between expectant, medical or surgical management of ectopic pregnancy .....	49
Finding more information and committee details.....	50
Update information .....	51

This guideline replaces CG154.

This guideline is the basis of QS69.

## Overview

This guideline covers diagnosing and managing ectopic pregnancy and miscarriage in women, trans men and non-binary people with complications, such as pain and bleeding, in early pregnancy (that is, up to 13 completed weeks of pregnancy). It aims to improve how early pregnancy loss is diagnosed, and the support that is given, to limit the psychological impact of their loss.

## Who is it for?

- Healthcare professionals
- Commissioners
- Women, trans men and non-binary people with complications in early pregnancy (up to 13 completed weeks of pregnancy), their families and carers

## Using this guideline

People have the right to be involved in discussions and make informed decisions about their care, as described in [NICE's information about shared decision making](#).

Using inclusive language in healthcare is important for safety, and to promote equity, respect and effective communication with everyone. This guideline does not use inclusive language in whole or in part because:

- the evidence has not been reviewed, and it is not certain from expert opinion which groups the advice covers, or
- the evidence has been reviewed, but the information available for some groups was too limited to make specific recommendations, or
- only a very limited number of recommendations have been updated in direct response to new evidence or to reflect a change in practice.

Healthcare professionals should use their clinical judgement when implementing recommendations, taking into account the individual's circumstances, needs and preferences, and ensuring all people are treated with dignity and respect throughout their care.

Healthcare professionals should follow NICE's guidelines for people delivering care:

- [Decision making and mental capacity](#)
- [Medicines adherence](#)
- [Medicines optimisation](#)
- [Multimorbidity](#)
- [Patient experience in adult NHS services](#)
- [People's experience in adult social care services](#)
- [Service user experience in adult mental health](#)
- [Shared decision making](#)

Making decisions using NICE guidelines explains how we use words to show the strength (or certainty) of our recommendations, and has information about prescribing medicines (including off-label use), professional guidelines, standards and laws (including on consent and mental capacity), and safeguarding.

# Support and information

## 1.1 Support and information for early pregnancy complications

- 1.1.1 Treat all women with early pregnancy complications with dignity and respect. Be aware that women will react to complications or the loss of a pregnancy in different ways. Provide all women with information and support in a sensitive manner, taking into account their individual circumstances and emotional response. For more guidance about providing information, see the NICE guideline on patient experience in adult NHS services. **[2012]**
- 1.1.2 Healthcare professionals providing care for women with early pregnancy complications in any setting should be aware that early pregnancy complications can cause significant distress for some women and their partners. Healthcare professionals providing care for these women should be given training in how to communicate sensitively and breaking bad news. Non-clinical staff such as receptionists working in settings where early pregnancy care is provided should also be given training on how to communicate sensitively with women who experience early pregnancy complications. For more guidance about support, see recommendation 1.9.4 on traumatic birth, stillbirth and miscarriage in the NICE guideline on antenatal and postnatal mental health. **[2012, amended 2019]**
- 1.1.3 After an early pregnancy loss, offer the woman the option of a follow-up appointment with a healthcare professional of her choice. **[2012]**

## 1.2 Ongoing support and information

- 1.2.1 Throughout a woman's care, provide the woman and (with her consent) her partner specific evidence-based information in a variety of formats. This should include (as appropriate):
- when and how to seek help if existing symptoms worsen or new symptoms

develop, including a 24-hour contact telephone number

- what to expect during the time she is waiting for an ultrasound scan
- what to expect during the course of her care (including expectant management), such as the potential length and extent of pain and/or bleeding, and possible side effects; this information should be tailored to the care she receives
- information about postoperative care (for women undergoing surgery)
- what to expect during the recovery period – for example, when it is possible to resume sexual activity and/or try to conceive again, and what to do if she becomes pregnant again; this information should be tailored to the care she receives
- information about the likely impact of her treatment on future fertility
- where to access support and counselling services, including leaflets, web addresses and helpline numbers for support organisations.

Ensure that sufficient time is available to discuss these issues with women during the course of her care and arrange an additional appointment if more time is needed. **[2012]**

# Early pregnancy assessment services

## 1.3 Availability and service setup

- 1.3.1 Regional services should be organised so that an early pregnancy assessment service is available 7 days a week for women with early pregnancy complications, where scanning can be carried out and decisions about management made. **[2012]**
- 1.3.2 An early pregnancy assessment service should:
- be a dedicated service provided by healthcare professionals competent to diagnose and care for women with pain and/or bleeding in early pregnancy **and**
  - offer ultrasound and assessment of serum human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG) levels **and**
  - be staffed by healthcare professionals with training in sensitive communication and breaking bad news. **[2012]**
- 1.3.3 Early pregnancy assessment services should accept self-referrals from women who have had recurrent miscarriage or a previous ectopic or molar pregnancy. Although additional care for women with recurrent miscarriage is not included in the scope of the guideline, the Guideline Development Group recognised that it is common clinical practice to allow these women to self-refer to an early pregnancy assessment service and wished this to remain the case. All other women with pain and/or bleeding should be assessed by a healthcare professional (such as a GP, accident and emergency [A&E] doctor, midwife or nurse) before referral to an early pregnancy assessment service. **[2012]**
- 1.3.4 Ensure that a system is in place to enable women referred to their local early pregnancy assessment service to attend within 24 hours if the clinical situation warrants this. If the service is not available, and the clinical symptoms warrant further assessment, refer women to the nearest accessible facility that offers specialist clinical assessment and ultrasound scanning (such as a gynaecology

ward or A&E service with access to specialist gynaecology support). **[2012]**

# Symptoms and signs of ectopic pregnancy and initial assessment

## 1.4 Presentation, assessment and referral

- 1.4.1 Refer women who are haemodynamically unstable, or in whom there is significant concern about the degree of pain or bleeding, directly to A&E. **[2012]**
- 1.4.2 Be aware that atypical presentation for ectopic pregnancy is common. **[2012]**
- 1.4.3 Be aware that ectopic pregnancy can present with a variety of symptoms. Even if a symptom is less common, it may still be significant. Symptoms of ectopic pregnancy include:
- common symptoms:
    - abdominal or pelvic pain
    - amenorrhoea or missed period
    - vaginal bleeding with or without clots
  - other reported symptoms:
    - breast tenderness
    - gastrointestinal symptoms
    - dizziness, fainting or syncope
    - shoulder tip pain
    - urinary symptoms
    - passage of tissue
    - rectal pressure or pain on defecation. **[2012]**

- 1.4.4 Be aware that ectopic pregnancy can present with a variety of signs on examination by a healthcare professional. Signs of ectopic pregnancy include:
- more common signs:
    - pelvic tenderness
    - adnexal tenderness
    - abdominal tenderness
  - other reported signs:
    - cervical motion tenderness
    - rebound tenderness or peritoneal signs
    - pallor
    - abdominal distension
    - enlarged uterus
    - tachycardia (more than 100 beats per minute) or hypotension (less than 100/60 mmHg)
    - shock or collapse
    - orthostatic hypotension. **[2012]**
- 1.4.5 During clinical assessment of women of reproductive age, be aware that:
- they may be pregnant, and think about offering a pregnancy test even when symptoms are non-specific **and**
  - the symptoms and signs of ectopic pregnancy can resemble the common symptoms and signs of other conditions – for example, gastrointestinal conditions or urinary tract infection. **[2012]**
- 1.4.6 All healthcare professionals involved in the care of women of reproductive age should have access to pregnancy tests. **[2012]**

1.4.7 Refer immediately to an early pregnancy assessment service (or out-of-hours gynaecology service if the early pregnancy assessment service is not available) for further assessment of women with a positive pregnancy test and the following on examination:

- pain and abdominal tenderness **or**
- pelvic tenderness **or**
- cervical motion tenderness. **[2012]**

1.4.8 Exclude the possibility of ectopic pregnancy, even in the absence of risk factors (such as previous ectopic pregnancy), because about a third of women with an ectopic pregnancy will have no known risk factors. **[2012]**

1.4.9 Refer to an early pregnancy assessment service (or out-of-hours gynaecology service if the early pregnancy assessment service is not available) women with bleeding or other symptoms and signs of early pregnancy complications who have:

- pain **or**
- a pregnancy of 6 weeks' gestation or more **or**
- a pregnancy of uncertain gestation.

The urgency of this referral depends on the clinical situation. **[2012]**

1.4.10 Use expectant management for women with a pregnancy of less than 6 weeks' gestation who are bleeding but not in pain, and who have no risk factors, such as a previous ectopic pregnancy. Advise these women:

- to return if bleeding continues or pain develops
- to repeat a urine pregnancy test after 7 to 10 days and to return if it is positive
- a negative pregnancy test means that the pregnancy has miscarried. **[2012, amended 2019]**

- 1.4.11 Refer women who return with worsening symptoms and signs that could suggest an ectopic pregnancy to an early pregnancy assessment service (or out-of-hours gynaecology service if the early pregnancy assessment service is not available) for further assessment. The decision about whether she should be seen immediately or within 24 hours will depend on the clinical situation. **[2012]**
- 1.4.12 If a woman is referred to an early pregnancy assessment service (or out-of-hours gynaecology service if the early pregnancy assessment service is not available), explain the reasons for the referral and what she can expect when she arrives there. **[2012]**

# Diagnosis of viable intrauterine pregnancy and of tubal ectopic pregnancy

## 1.5 Ultrasound to determine location of pregnancy

- 1.5.1 Offer women who attend an early pregnancy assessment service (or out-of-hours gynaecology service if the early pregnancy assessment service is not available) a transvaginal ultrasound scan to identify the location of the pregnancy and whether there is a fetal pole and heartbeat. **[2012]**
- 1.5.2 Consider a transabdominal ultrasound scan for women with an enlarged uterus or other pelvic pathology, such as fibroids or an ovarian cyst. **[2012]**
- 1.5.3 If a transvaginal ultrasound scan is unacceptable to the woman, offer a transabdominal ultrasound scan and explain the limitations of this method of scanning. **[2012]**

## 1.6 Using ultrasound scans for diagnosis of viable intrauterine pregnancy

- 1.6.1 Inform women that the diagnosis of miscarriage using 1 ultrasound scan cannot be guaranteed to be 100% accurate and there is a small chance that the diagnosis may be incorrect, particularly at very early gestational ages. **[2012]**
- 1.6.2 When performing an ultrasound scan to determine the viability of an intrauterine pregnancy, first look to identify a fetal heartbeat. If there is no visible heartbeat but there is a visible fetal pole, measure the crown–rump length. Only measure the mean gestational sac diameter if the fetal pole is not visible. **[2012]**
- 1.6.3 If the crown–rump length is less than 7.0 mm with a transvaginal ultrasound scan and there is no visible heartbeat, perform a second scan a minimum of 7 days after the first before making a diagnosis. Further scans may be needed before a

diagnosis can be made. **[2012]**

- 1.6.4 If the crown–rump length is 7.0 mm or more with a transvaginal ultrasound scan and there is no visible heartbeat:
- seek a second opinion on the viability of the pregnancy **and/or**
  - perform a second scan a minimum of 7 days after the first before making a diagnosis. **[2012]**
- 1.6.5 If there is no visible heartbeat when the crown–rump length is measured using a transabdominal ultrasound scan:
- record the size of the crown–rump length **and**
  - perform a second scan a minimum of 14 days after the first before making a diagnosis. **[2012]**
- 1.6.6 If the mean gestational sac diameter is less than 25.0 mm with a transvaginal ultrasound scan and there is no visible fetal pole, perform a second scan a minimum of 7 days after the first before making a diagnosis. Further scans may be needed before a diagnosis can be made. **[2012]**
- 1.6.7 If the mean gestational sac diameter is 25.0 mm or more using a transvaginal ultrasound scan and there is no visible fetal pole:
- seek a second opinion on the viability of the pregnancy **and/or**
  - perform a second scan a minimum of 7 days after the first before making a diagnosis. **[2012]**
- 1.6.8 If there is no visible fetal pole and the mean gestational sac diameter is measured using a transabdominal ultrasound scan:
- record the size of the mean gestational sac diameter **and**
  - perform a second scan a minimum of 14 days after the first before making a diagnosis. **[2012]**
- 1.6.9 Do not use gestational age from the last menstrual period alone to determine

whether a fetal heartbeat should be visible. **[2012]**

- 1.6.10 Inform women that the date of their last menstrual period may not give an accurate representation of gestational age because of variability in the menstrual cycle. **[2012]**
- 1.6.11 Inform women what to expect while waiting for a repeat scan and that waiting for a repeat scan has no detrimental effects on the outcome of the pregnancy. **[2012]**
- 1.6.12 Give women a 24-hour contact telephone number so that they can speak to someone with experience of caring for women with early pregnancy complications who understands their needs and can advise on appropriate care. See also [recommendation 1.2.1](#) for details of further information that should be provided. **[2012]**
- 1.6.13 When diagnosing complete miscarriage on an ultrasound scan, in the absence of a previous scan confirming an intrauterine pregnancy, always be aware of the possibility of a pregnancy of unknown location. Advise these women to return for follow-up (for example, hCG levels, ultrasound scans) until a definitive diagnosis is obtained. (See also [recommendations on human chorionic gonadotrophin measurements in women with pregnancy of unknown location.](#)) **[2012, amended 2019]**

## 1.7 Using ultrasound scans for diagnosis of tubal ectopic pregnancy

- 1.7.1 When carrying out a transvaginal ultrasound scan in early pregnancy, look for these signs indicating there is a tubal ectopic pregnancy:
- an adnexal mass, moving separate to the ovary (sometimes called the 'sliding sign'), comprising a gestational sac containing a yolk sac **or**
  - an adnexal mass, moving separately to the ovary, comprising a gestational sac and fetal pole (with or without fetal heartbeat). **[2019]**

1.7.2 When carrying out a transvaginal ultrasound scan in early pregnancy, look for these signs indicating a high probability of a tubal ectopic pregnancy:

- an adnexal mass, moving separately to the ovary (sometimes called the 'sliding sign'), with an empty gestational sac (sometimes described as a 'tubal ring' or 'bagel sign') **or**
- a complex, inhomogeneous adnexal mass, moving separate to the ovary.

If these features are present, take into account other intrauterine and adnexal features on the scan, the woman's clinical presentation and serum hCG levels before making a diagnosis. **[2019]**

1.7.3 When carrying out a transvaginal ultrasound scan in early pregnancy, look for these signs indicating a possible ectopic pregnancy:

- an empty uterus **or**
- a collection of fluid within the uterine cavity (sometimes described as a pseudo-sac; this collection of fluid must be differentiated from an early intrauterine sac, which is identified by the presence of an eccentrically located hypoechoic structure with a double decidual sign [gestational sac surrounded by 2 concentric echogenic rings] in the endometrium).

If these features are present, take into account other intrauterine and adnexal features on the scan, the woman's clinical presentation and serum hCG levels before making a diagnosis. (See also [recommendations on human chorionic gonadotrophin measurements in women with pregnancy of unknown location](#).) **[2019]**

1.7.4 When carrying out a transabdominal or transvaginal ultrasound scan in early pregnancy, look for a moderate to large amount of free fluid in the peritoneal cavity or Pouch of Douglas, which might represent haemoperitoneum. If this is present, take into account other intrauterine and adnexal features on the scan, the woman's clinical presentation and hCG levels before making a diagnosis. **[2019]**

1.7.5 When carrying out a transabdominal or transvaginal ultrasound scan during early pregnancy, scan the uterus and adnexae to see if there is a heterotopic

pregnancy. **[2019]**

- 1.7.6 All ultrasound scans should be performed or directly supervised and reviewed by appropriately qualified healthcare professionals with training in, and experience of, diagnosing ectopic pregnancies. **[2012, amended 2019]**

#### Why the committee made these recommendations

There was good evidence that, when seen on ultrasound, the presence of an adnexal mass with features of an early pregnancy (a gestational sac containing a yolk sac or fetal pole, with or without a heartbeat) was a reliable indicator for ectopic pregnancy.

Other features such as a complex inhomogeneous adnexal mass, adnexal mass with an empty gestational sac, empty uterus, a collection of fluid in the uterine cavity or free peritoneal fluid might indicate a suspicion of an ectopic pregnancy, but the evidence showed they are not reliable enough features on their own to diagnose an ectopic pregnancy. The committee used their knowledge and experience to recommend that other scan features, clinical presentation and serum human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG) levels should therefore be used as well to confirm or rule out the diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy.

Full details of the evidence and the committee's discussion are in [evidence review A: diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound features for tubal ectopic pregnancy](#).

#### How the recommendations might affect practice

The recommendations will not change the amount of ultrasound scanning that is carried out but will standardise practice across the NHS. By defining the features that should be used to indicate the presence of an ectopic pregnancy, or a suspicion of an ectopic pregnancy (which can then be investigated further), the diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy should be improved and so risks to women will be reduced.

## 1.8 Human chorionic gonadotrophin measurements in women with pregnancy of unknown location

- 1.8.1 Be aware that women with a pregnancy of unknown location could have an ectopic pregnancy until the location is determined. **[2012]**
- 1.8.2 Do not use serum hCG measurements to determine the location of the pregnancy. **[2012]**
- 1.8.3 In a woman with a pregnancy of unknown location, place more importance on clinical symptoms than on serum hCG results, and review the woman's condition if any of her symptoms change, regardless of previous results and assessments. **[2012]**
- 1.8.4 Use serum hCG measurements only for assessing trophoblastic proliferation to help to determine subsequent management. **[2012]**
- 1.8.5 Take 2 serum hCG measurements as near as possible to 48 hours apart (but no earlier) to determine subsequent management of a pregnancy of unknown location. Take further measurements only after review by a senior healthcare professional. **[2012]**
- 1.8.6 Regardless of serum hCG levels, give women with a pregnancy of unknown location written information about what to do if they experience any new or worsening symptoms, including details about how to access emergency care 24 hours a day. Advise women to return if there are new symptoms or if existing symptoms worsen. **[2012]**
- 1.8.7 For a woman with an increase in serum hCG levels greater than 63% after 48 hours:
- Inform her that she is likely to have a developing intrauterine pregnancy (although the possibility of an ectopic pregnancy cannot be excluded).
  - Offer her a transvaginal ultrasound scan to determine the location of the pregnancy between 7 and 14 days later. Consider an earlier scan for women with a serum hCG level greater than or equal to 1,500 IU/litre.

- If a viable intrauterine pregnancy is confirmed, offer her routine antenatal care. See the [NICE guideline on antenatal care](#).
- If a viable intrauterine pregnancy is not confirmed, refer her for immediate clinical review by a senior gynaecologist. **[2012]**

1.8.8 For a woman with a decrease in serum hCG levels greater than 50% after 48 hours:

- inform her that the pregnancy is unlikely to continue but that this is not confirmed **and**
- provide her with oral and written information about where she can access support and counselling services; see also [recommendation 1.2.1](#) for details of further information that should be provided
- ask her to take a urine pregnancy test 14 days after the second serum hCG test, and explain that:
  - if the test is negative, no further action is necessary
  - if the test is positive, she should return to the early pregnancy assessment service for clinical review within 24 hours. **[2012]**

1.8.9 For a woman with a decrease in serum hCG levels less than 50%, or an increase less than 63%, refer her for clinical review in the early pregnancy assessment service within 24 hours. **[2012, amended 2019]**

1.8.10 For women with a pregnancy of unknown location, when using serial serum hCG measurements, do not use serum progesterone measurements as an adjunct to diagnose either viable intrauterine pregnancy or ectopic pregnancy. **[2012]**

# Management of miscarriage

## 1.9 Threatened miscarriage

- 1.9.1 Advise a woman with a confirmed intrauterine pregnancy with a fetal heartbeat who presents with vaginal bleeding, but has no history of previous miscarriage, that:
- if her bleeding gets worse, or persists beyond 14 days, she should return for further assessment
  - if the bleeding stops, she should start or continue routine antenatal care. **[2012, amended 2021]**
- 1.9.2 Offer vaginal micronised progesterone 400 mg twice daily to women with an intrauterine pregnancy confirmed by a scan, if they have vaginal bleeding and have previously had a miscarriage. **[2021]**
- 1.9.3 If a fetal heartbeat is confirmed, continue progesterone until 16 completed weeks of pregnancy. **[2021]**

### Why the committee made these recommendations

There was good evidence that 400 mg twice daily of micronised vaginal progesterone increases the number of live births in women with early pregnancy bleeding and a previous miscarriage. There was no evidence of benefit for any other preparations or doses of progesterone, so the committee made a recommendation for research on the effectiveness of different progestogens in women at risk of miscarriage.

There was evidence of no benefit in women with early pregnancy bleeding but no previous miscarriage, nor in women with previous miscarriage but no early pregnancy bleeding in the current pregnancy. The committee made a recommendation for research to further assess the use of progesterone in women with recurrent miscarriage. There was no evidence of harm to the mother or baby from the use of progesterone, although the evidence is insufficient to rule out the possibility of rare events.

To reduce the risk of women with a pregnancy of unknown location or an ectopic pregnancy being given progesterone, the committee agreed that, as in the clinical studies, progesterone should only be given to women with intrauterine pregnancy confirmed with a scan. To avoid delay in starting treatment the committee agreed that progesterone could be started before a fetal heartbeat is detected. The evidence on which the recommendations were based had continued the progesterone treatment until 16 weeks of pregnancy so the committee used this duration of treatment in their recommendations.

The committee discussed that as a scan was needed to confirm the intrauterine pregnancy it would be appropriate for the initial prescription for progesterone to be provided by the Early Pregnancy Unit, with prescribing continued to 16 weeks (if a fetal heartbeat was detected) by the woman's GP. However, the committee were aware that shared care prescribing arrangements are usually agreed locally and so did not include this detail in their recommendations.

The committee confirmed that the recommendations for the use of progesterone are only for women with early pregnancy bleeding and a history of miscarriage. The recommendations are not applicable in other circumstances, such as after the use of mifepristone.

Full details of the evidence and the committee's discussion are in [evidence review C: progestogens for preventing miscarriage](#).

How the recommendations might affect practice

The recommendations will increase the use of progestogens to prevent miscarriage but this is cost effective. The recommendations will standardise the preparation of progesterone used to treat threatened miscarriage.

## 1.10 Expectant management

- 1.10.1 Use expectant management for 7 to 14 days as the first-line management strategy for women with a confirmed diagnosis of miscarriage. Explore management options other than expectant management if:
- the woman is at increased risk of haemorrhage (for example, she is in the late first trimester) **or**
  - she has previous adverse and/or traumatic experience associated with pregnancy (for example, stillbirth, miscarriage or antepartum haemorrhage) **or**
  - she is at increased risk from the effects of haemorrhage (for example, if she has coagulopathies or is unable to have a blood transfusion) **or**
  - there is evidence of infection. **[2012]**
- 1.10.2 Offer medical management to women with a confirmed diagnosis of miscarriage if expectant management is not acceptable to the woman. **[2012]**
- 1.10.3 Explain what expectant management involves and that most women will need no further treatment. Also provide women with oral and written information about further treatment options. **[2012]**
- 1.10.4 Give all women undergoing expectant management of miscarriage oral and

written information about what to expect throughout the process, advice on pain relief and where and when to get help in an emergency. See also [recommendation 1.2.1](#) for details of further information that should be provided.

**[2012]**

1.10.5 If the resolution of bleeding and pain indicate that the miscarriage has completed during 7 to 14 days of expectant management, provide the woman or person with a urine pregnancy test to carry out at home 3 weeks after their miscarriage, and advise them to return for individualised care if it is positive. **[2012, amended 2023]**

1.10.6 Offer a repeat scan if after the period of expectant management, the bleeding and pain:

- have not started (suggesting that the process of miscarriage has not begun) **or**
- are persisting and/or increasing (suggesting incomplete miscarriage).

Discuss all treatment options (continued expectant management, medical management and surgical management) with the woman to allow her to make an informed choice. **[2012]**

1.10.7 Review the condition of a woman who opts for continued expectant management of miscarriage at a minimum of 14 days after the first follow-up appointment. **[2012]**

### Why the committee made these recommendations

There was evidence that the combination of mifepristone and misoprostol reduced the failure of the gestational sac to spontaneously pass by 7 days and reduced the need for surgical intervention to complete the miscarriage up to and after 7 days, compared to misoprostol alone, so the committee recommended a combination treatment.

Time to bleeding was not an outcome reported in the evidence, but the committee noted that the evidence described that bleeding usually started 2 to 3 days after misoprostol treatment, and that study participants were asked to report if bleeding had not started within 48 hours. The committee agreed that 24 hours was too short and so recommended 48 hours as a more realistic timeframe. Based on their knowledge and experience, the committee noted that there may be some people who cannot easily contact early pregnancy services, so it recommended these individuals should be contacted proactively to check that bleeding has begun.

The committee revised the recommendations on incomplete and missed miscarriage to clarify the differences between the treatment of the 2 conditions. The committee reviewed new evidence relating to the use of mifepristone for missed miscarriage and added this to the advice, but agreed that there was no new evidence to support the use of mifepristone for incomplete miscarriage.

The committee agreed, based on their knowledge and experience, that women and people having a miscarriage should also be given advice on when and how to seek help during the miscarriage process, so it added this to the existing advice.

The committee agreed that a positive pregnancy test may indicate the presence of a retained pregnancy, so it added this to the recommendation on when to return for review. Based on expert advice, the committee added additional advice to cover the situation where the pregnancy test is negative but the woman or person is still bleeding or has developed other symptoms.

The committee noted that the recommendation on expectant management of miscarriage stated people should obtain a pregnancy test themselves, whereas the guidance following medical management of miscarriage advised that people should

be supplied with a pregnancy test by their care team. To ensure parity of treatment between all groups having a miscarriage, the committee updated this recommendation based on expert opinion and consensus.

Full details of the evidence and the committee's discussion are in [evidence review D: medical management of miscarriage](#).

### How the recommendations might affect practice

The recommendation for combination treatment will be a change in practice and women or people being treated for missed miscarriage will now need to receive 2 medications instead of 1, administered 48 hours apart. The use of mifepristone for the treatment of missed miscarriage may also increase. However, as mifepristone is already used in clinical practice for missed miscarriage in many early pregnancy settings this will standardise practice for such settings across the NHS. The use of combination treatment will also reduce the need for surgical intervention so will reduce costs for the NHS. This has been shown to be a cost-effective treatment.

The change from 24 hours to 48 hours in the recommendation on start of bleeding may reduce the number of people contacting early pregnancy services because bleeding has not started. However, the recommendation to proactively follow up with people who do not contact the service may increase resource use, as staff time will be needed to contact these individuals.

This addition of advice on how and when to seek help may increase the number of people seeking help during the miscarriage process, and this may increase resource use for the NHS.

The revised recommendation on positive urine pregnancy tests will not change the number of people who need review after 3 weeks, so there will be no resource impact from this change.

The change to the expectant management advice to give parity of care will increase the number of urine pregnancy tests supplied by the NHS, which will have a resource impact, but this will reduce health inequalities and ensure that all women and people have access to the pregnancy test to complete their management of miscarriage regardless of ability (financial or otherwise) to obtain a pregnancy test themselves.

## 1.11 Medical management

In August 2023, the use of mifepristone and misoprostol in recommendations 1.11.1 and 1.11.3 was off label. See [NICE's information on prescribing medicines](#).

- 1.11.1 For the medical management of missed miscarriage offer:
- 200 mg oral mifepristone **and**
  - 48 hours later, 800 micrograms misoprostol (vaginal, oral or sublingual) unless the gestational sac has already been passed. **[2012, amended 2023]**
- 1.11.2 Advise the woman or person that if bleeding has not started within 48 hours after misoprostol treatment, they should contact their healthcare professional to determine ongoing individualised care. If there are concerns that they will not contact the service then there should be arrangements for the service to follow up with these individuals. **[2012, amended 2023]**
- 1.11.3 For the medical management of incomplete miscarriage, use a single dose of misoprostol 600 micrograms (vaginal, oral or sublingual). Misoprostol 800 micrograms can be used as an alternative to allow alignment of treatment protocols for both missed and incomplete miscarriage. **[2012, amended 2023]**
- 1.11.4 Do not offer mifepristone as a treatment for incomplete miscarriage. **[2012, amended 2023]**
- 1.11.5 Offer all women and people receiving medical management of miscarriage pain relief and anti-emetics as needed. **[2012]**
- 1.11.6 Inform women and people receiving medical management of miscarriage about what to expect throughout the process. Include the length and extent of bleeding, potential side effects of treatment including pain, diarrhoea and vomiting, and when and how to seek help. **[2012, amended 2023]**
- 1.11.7 Provide women and people who have had medical management of miscarriage with a urine pregnancy test to carry out at home 3 weeks after medical management of miscarriage unless they experience worsening symptoms, in

which case advise them to return to the healthcare professional responsible for providing their medical management. **[2012, amended 2021]**

- 1.11.8 Advise women and people with a positive urine pregnancy test after 3 weeks to return for a review to the healthcare professional responsible for providing their medical management to rule out a retained pregnancy, molar or ectopic pregnancy, and assess the need for further investigations or treatment. **[2012, amended 2023]**
- 1.11.9 If the pregnancy test after 3 weeks is negative but the woman or person is still bleeding heavily or has other symptoms (for example, pelvic pain or fever), then assess the need for further investigations or treatment. **[2023]**

### Why the committee made these recommendations

There was evidence that the combination of mifepristone and misoprostol reduced the failure of the gestational sac to spontaneously pass by 7 days and reduced the need for surgical intervention to complete the miscarriage up to and after 7 days, compared to misoprostol alone, so the committee recommended a combination treatment.

Time to bleeding was not an outcome reported in the evidence, but the committee noted that the evidence described that bleeding usually started 2 to 3 days after misoprostol treatment, and that study participants were asked to report if bleeding had not started within 48 hours. The committee agreed that 24 hours was too short and so recommended 48 hours as a more realistic timeframe. Based on their knowledge and experience, the committee noted that there may be some people who cannot easily contact early pregnancy services, so it recommended these individuals should be contacted proactively to check that bleeding has begun.

The committee revised the recommendations on incomplete and missed miscarriage to clarify the differences between the treatment of the 2 conditions. The committee reviewed new evidence relating to the use of mifepristone for missed miscarriage and added this to the advice, but agreed that there was no new evidence to support the use of mifepristone for incomplete miscarriage.

The committee agreed, based on their knowledge and experience, that women and people having a miscarriage should also be given advice on when and how to seek help during the miscarriage process, so it added this to the existing advice.

The committee agreed that a positive pregnancy test may indicate the presence of a retained pregnancy, so it added this to the recommendation on when to return for review. Based on expert advice, the committee added additional advice to cover the situation where the pregnancy test is negative but the woman or person is still bleeding or has developed other symptoms.

The committee noted that the recommendation on expectant management of miscarriage stated people should obtain a pregnancy test themselves, whereas the guidance following medical management of miscarriage advised that people should

be supplied with a pregnancy test by their care team. To ensure parity of treatment between all groups having a miscarriage, the committee updated this recommendation based on expert opinion and consensus.

Full details of the evidence and the committee's discussion are in [evidence review D: medical management of miscarriage](#).

### How the recommendations might affect practice

The recommendation for combination treatment will be a change in practice and women or people being treated for missed miscarriage will now need to receive 2 medications instead of 1, administered 48 hours apart. The use of mifepristone for the treatment of missed miscarriage may also increase. However, as mifepristone is already used in clinical practice for missed miscarriage in many early pregnancy settings this will standardise practice for such settings across the NHS. The use of combination treatment will also reduce the need for surgical intervention so will reduce costs for the NHS. This has been shown to be a cost-effective treatment.

The change from 24 hours to 48 hours in the recommendation on start of bleeding may reduce the number of people contacting early pregnancy services because bleeding has not started. However, the recommendation to proactively follow up with people who do not contact the service may increase resource use, as staff time will be needed to contact these individuals.

This addition of advice on how and when to seek help may increase the number of people seeking help during the miscarriage process, and this may increase resource use for the NHS.

The revised recommendation on positive urine pregnancy tests will not change the number of people who need review after 3 weeks, so there will be no resource impact from this change.

The change to the expectant management advice to give parity of care will increase the number of urine pregnancy tests supplied by the NHS, which will have a resource impact, but this will reduce health inequalities and ensure that all women and people have access to the pregnancy test to complete their management of miscarriage regardless of ability (financial or otherwise) to obtain a pregnancy test themselves.

## 1.12 Surgical management

1.12.1 Where clinically appropriate, offer women undergoing a miscarriage a choice of:

- manual vacuum aspiration under local anaesthetic in an outpatient or clinic setting **or**
- surgical management in a theatre under general anaesthetic. **[2012]**

1.12.2 Provide oral and written information to all women undergoing surgical management of miscarriage about the treatment options available and what to expect during and after the procedure. See also [recommendation 1.2.1](#) for details of further information that should be provided. **[2012]**

# Management of tubal ectopic pregnancy

## 1.13 Information for people who have an ectopic pregnancy

1.13.1 Give all women with an ectopic pregnancy oral and written information about:

- the treatment options and what to expect during and after treatment
- how they can contact a healthcare professional for advice after treatment if needed, and who this will be
- where and when to get help in an emergency.

See also [recommendation 1.2.1](#) for details of further information that should be provided. **[2012, amended 2019]**

1.13.2 Inform women who have had an ectopic pregnancy that they can self-refer to an early pregnancy assessment service in future pregnancies if they have any early concerns. **[2012]**

## 1.14 Expectant management

1.14.1 Offer expectant management as an option to women who:

- are clinically stable and pain free **and**
- have a tubal ectopic pregnancy measuring less than 35 mm with no visible heartbeat on transvaginal ultrasound scan **and**
- have serum hCG levels of 1,000 IU/L or less **and**
- are able to return for follow-up. **[2019]**

1.14.2 Consider expectant management as an option for women who:

- are clinically stable and pain free **and**
  - have a tubal ectopic pregnancy measuring less than 35 mm with no visible heartbeat on transvaginal ultrasound scan **and**
  - have serum hCG levels above 1,000 IU/L and below 1,500 IU/L **and**
  - are able to return for follow-up. **[2019]**
- 1.14.3 For women with a tubal ectopic pregnancy being managed expectantly, repeat hCG levels on days 2, 4 and 7 after the original test and:
- if hCG levels drop by 15% or more from the previous value on days 2, 4 and 7, then repeat weekly until a negative result (less than 20 IU/L) is obtained **or**
  - if hCG levels do not fall by 15%, stay the same or rise from the previous value, review the woman's clinical condition and seek senior advice to help decide further management. **[2019]**
- 1.14.4 Advise women that, based on limited evidence, there seems to be no difference following expectant or medical management in:
- the rate of ectopic pregnancies ending naturally
  - the risk of tubal rupture
  - the need for additional treatment, but that they might need to be admitted urgently if their condition deteriorates
  - health status, depression or anxiety scores. **[2019]**
- 1.14.5 Advise women that the time taken for ectopic pregnancies to resolve and future fertility outcomes are likely to be the same with either expectant or medical management. **[2019]**

### Why the committee made these recommendations

The evidence showed no significant differences in the number of ectopic pregnancies ending naturally, the need for additional treatment, the incidence of tubal rupture or the effect on health-related quality of life between expectant management and medical management, so the committee recommended that expectant management could be offered to clinically stable women with small ectopic pregnancies and low hCG levels, and should be considered for clinically stable women with small ectopic pregnancies and slightly higher hCG levels, as an alternative to medical management.

There was no evidence for the time taken for ectopic pregnancies to end naturally or the effects on future fertility but the committee agreed, based on their expertise and experience, that these outcomes were likely to be the same with expectant management compared with medical management.

Full details of the evidence and the committee's discussion are in [evidence review B: expectant versus medical management of ectopic pregnancy](#).

### How the recommendations might affect practice

These recommendations will standardise the management of ectopic pregnancy and make expectant management available for women when it is clinically appropriate. More women might have expectant management of ectopic pregnancy as a result. This could result in cost savings through a reduction in drug use and treatment of associated side effects. Local protocols will be needed for assessment, monitoring and follow-up of women choosing expectant management.

## 1.15 Medical and surgical management

In April 2019, the use of methotrexate in recommendations 1.15.1 to 1.15.4 was off label. See [NICE's information on prescribing medicines](#).

1.15.1 Offer systemic methotrexate to women who:

- have no significant pain **and**
- have an unruptured tubal ectopic pregnancy with an adnexal mass smaller than 35 mm with no visible heartbeat **and**
- have a serum hCG level less than 1,500 IU/litre **and**
- do not have an intrauterine pregnancy (as confirmed on an ultrasound scan) **and**
- are able to return for follow-up.

Methotrexate should only be offered on a first visit when there is a definitive diagnosis of an ectopic pregnancy, and a viable intrauterine pregnancy has been excluded. Offer surgery where treatment with methotrexate is not acceptable to the woman. **[2012, amended 2019]**

1.15.2 Offer surgery as a first-line treatment to women who are unable to return for follow-up after methotrexate treatment or who have any of the following:

- an ectopic pregnancy and significant pain
- an ectopic pregnancy with an adnexal mass of 35 mm or larger
- an ectopic pregnancy with a fetal heartbeat visible on an ultrasound scan
- an ectopic pregnancy and a serum hCG level of 5,000 IU/litre or more. **[2012]**

1.15.3 Offer the choice of either methotrexate or surgical management to women with an ectopic pregnancy who have a serum hCG level of at least 1,500 IU/litre and less than 5,000 IU/litre, who are able to return for follow-up and who meet all of the following criteria:

- no significant pain
- an unruptured ectopic pregnancy with an adnexal mass smaller than 35 mm with no visible heartbeat
- no intrauterine pregnancy (as confirmed on an ultrasound scan).

Advise women who choose methotrexate that their chance of needing further intervention is increased and they may need to be urgently admitted if their condition deteriorates. **[2012]**

- 1.15.4 For women with ectopic pregnancy who have had methotrexate, take 2 serum hCG measurements in the first week (days 4 and 7) after treatment and then 1 serum hCG measurement per week until a negative result is obtained. If hCG levels plateau or rise, reassess the woman's condition for further treatment. **[2012]**

## 1.16 Performing laparoscopy

- 1.16.1 When surgical treatment is indicated for women with an ectopic pregnancy, it should be performed laparoscopically whenever possible, taking into account the condition of the woman and the complexity of the surgical procedure. **[2012]**
- 1.16.2 Surgeons providing care to women with ectopic pregnancy should be competent to perform laparoscopic surgery. **[2012]**
- 1.16.3 Commissioners and managers should ensure that equipment for laparoscopic surgery is available. **[2012]**

## 1.17 Salpingectomy and salpingotomy

- 1.17.1 Offer a salpingectomy to women undergoing surgery for an ectopic pregnancy unless they have other risk factors for infertility. **[2012]**
- 1.17.2 Consider salpingotomy as an alternative to salpingectomy for women with risk factors for infertility such as contralateral tube damage. **[2012]**
- 1.17.3 Inform women having a salpingotomy that up to 1 in 5 women may need further treatment. This treatment may include methotrexate and/or a salpingectomy. **[2012]**

- 1.17.4 For women who have had a salpingotomy, take 1 serum hCG measurement at 7 days after surgery, then 1 serum hCG measurement per week until a negative result is obtained. **[2012]**
- 1.17.5 Advise women who have had a salpingectomy that they should take a urine pregnancy test after 3 weeks. Advise women to return for further assessment if the test is positive. **[2012]**

# Anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis

## 1.18 Use of anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis

- 1.18.1 Do not offer anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis as a treatment for ectopic pregnancy or miscarriage to women, trans men and non-binary people for an ectopic pregnancy, miscarriage or threatened miscarriage up to and including 11+6 weeks' gestation. If there is a discrepancy between length of gestation as measured from ultrasound and that calculated from last menstrual period, use the findings from ultrasound to guide management. **[2026]**
- 1.18.2 Offer anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis at a dose of at least 250 IU (50 micrograms) to women, trans men and non-binary people who are RhD-negative and are at 12+0 to 12+6 completed weeks of pregnancy and having medical management or a surgical procedure to manage ectopic pregnancy or miscarriage. **[2026]**
- 1.18.3 Consider anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis at a dose of at least 250 IU (50 micrograms) for women, trans men and non-binary people who are RhD-negative and are at 12+0 to 12+6 completed weeks of pregnancy for threatened miscarriage with heavy or recurrent bleeding. **[2026]**
- 1.18.4 Discuss the use of anti-D immunoglobulin with women, trans men and non-binary people if it is a suitable treatment option for them. Cover that:
- it is a protein obtained from blood plasma, but
  - it does not contain blood cells (it is a filtered blood product). **[2026]**
- 1.18.5 Do not use a Kleihauer test for quantifying feto-maternal haemorrhage. **[2012]**

### Why the committee made these recommendations

The committee agreed that there was a lack of recently published evidence on the efficacy and safety of the use of anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis. The committee took into account expert testimony about the use of anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis, and the incidence of sensitising events in people who are RhD-negative.

The committee noted that there is a lack of evidence to suggest a benefit of providing anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis under 12 weeks, and no evidence to suggest that there was a difference in sensitisation rates between medical and surgical interventions for ectopic pregnancy or miscarriage. The committee also took into account supporting evidence that showed, where sensitising events occurred, high levels of maternofetal red blood cells were recorded before the event. It was also noted that sensitising events did not just occur as a result of the D antigen, but can be caused by other antigens such as C and E antigens.

The committee also agreed that it was important to calculate gestation based on ultrasound findings, as this is more accurate than using last menstrual period.

Taking all this into account, the committee agreed to make population-specific recommendations based on the balance of benefits and harms for each group. The committee discussed that, for people who are 12+0 to 12+6 weeks of pregnancy who are having medical management or a surgical procedure to manage ectopic pregnancy or miscarriage, anti-D should be offered. This is unchanged from the previous recommendation, and is because of the increased risk of sensitisation after the first trimester (12+0 weeks). A recommendation to consider anti-D was made for people who are 12+0 to 12+6 weeks of pregnancy and experiencing threatened miscarriage with heavy or recurrent bleeding, because it is uncertain as to whether there is a link between heavy or recurrent bleeding and sensitisation. The committee chose not to define heavy or recurrent bleeding, and discussed that this should be based on clinical judgement.

The committee discussed that the dose of 250 IU given in the original recommendations is a dose size that is not always available in NHS settings, but wanted to be clear that 250 IU is the minimum dose that should be used and that larger doses can be used if that is all that is available. The committee also agreed that

where anti-D immunoglobulin is a suitable treatment for women, trans men and non-binary people experiencing an ectopic pregnancy or miscarriage, they should be made aware that it is a blood product so they can make an informed choice about its use. The committee discussed the importance of ensuring this discussion takes place, as people may not want to receive blood products for personal reasons.

Full details of the evidence and the committee's discussion are in [evidence review E: anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis](#).

### How the recommendations might affect practice

The recommendations represent a change in current practice. Anti-D immunoglobulin is no longer offered to women, trans men and non-binary people with an ectopic pregnancy or miscarriage at up to and including 11+6 weeks of pregnancy, including anyone having surgical procedures for management of the same. The change in recommendations also means that anti-D prophylaxis can be considered for women, trans men and non-binary people who experience a threatened miscarriage with heavy and recurrent bleeding between 12+0 and 12+6 weeks of pregnancy.

Overall, there is expected to be a reduction in costs as fewer people will be offered anti-D immunoglobulin. The recommendations may also remove barriers from early pregnancy services being provided in community locations, such as being incorporated within a woman's health hub.

## Terms used in this guideline

### Early pregnancy

Pregnancy in the first trimester (that is, up to 13 completed weeks of pregnancy).

### Expectant management

A management approach, also called 'wait and watch', when no medical or surgical treatment is given. The aim is to see if the condition will resolve naturally.

### Pregnancy of unknown location

When a woman has a positive pregnancy test, but no intrauterine or extrauterine pregnancy can be seen with a transvaginal ultrasound scan.

# Recommendations for research

The guideline committee has made the following recommendations for research based on its review of evidence, to improve NICE guidance and patient care in the future. The Guideline Development Group's full set of recommendations for research are detailed in the [full guideline](#).

## 1 Early pregnancy assessment units

A national evaluation of early pregnancy assessment unit service provision should be carried out to identify factors affecting outcomes. Factors should include whether care is provided in a dedicated unit, staffing configuration and opening hours of dedicated services. Outcomes should include both process (service) outcomes and pregnancy-related outcomes. Data collected should be used to analyse the cost effectiveness of early pregnancy assessment units compared with other models of care.

### Why this is important

The first report of an early pregnancy assessment unit in England was published over 20 years ago, and prompted the rapid development of centres for the management of problems in early pregnancy. Today there are an estimated 150 early pregnancy assessment units in England and Wales (Association of Early Pregnancy Units, 2012). However, there is considerable variation between centres in access to services and levels of care provided. In addition, there has been very little good quality research on the effectiveness of early pregnancy assessment units in improving physical and emotional health compared with services provided outside of a dedicated unit.

A national audit of early pregnancy assessment services would help to make up for this lack of information. Such an audit should be along the lines of the National Caesarean Section Sentinel Audit, a cross-sectional national survey of service configuration and outcomes. Data recorded would include service location, opening hours and the healthcare professionals involved. Outcomes would include time of attendance, length of stay, admission rates, time to treatment and women's experience. Obtaining some of this information would involve early pregnancy services carrying out more formal follow-up of women than they might do currently, for the duration of the audit. The evaluation should be structured to allow for comparisons between different models of care.

Comparative outcome data collected would be used to conduct an analysis of the cost effectiveness of early pregnancy assessment units compared with other models of care.

## 2 Ultrasound for determining a viable intrauterine pregnancy

How does the timing and frequency of ultrasound examination affect diagnosis and outcomes of early pregnancy complications, including women's experience and cost effectiveness?

### Why this is important

The rationale behind the frequency of ultrasound to improve diagnosis and outcomes of early pregnancy complications addresses the problems associated with pregnancy of unknown location and intrauterine pregnancy of uncertain viability. The evidence base for the timing and frequency of scanning in early pregnancy is limited, and the number of scans is organised by individual units according to capacity and demand. Some healthcare professionals choose to wait 5 days between scans whereas others will wait 10 to 14 days. These decisions are driven by resource availability as well as clinical considerations, but in particular the effect of different strategies on cost and women's experience is not clear. The literature suggests that there is no clear consensus, but there is general agreement that by 14 days a diagnosis will be clear. To establish the most appropriate time for scans, the efficacy of scans taken after 14 days could be compared with scans taken after 7 days for diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy or viability.

## 3 Effectiveness of progestogens in women with recurrent miscarriage

What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of progesterone for improving outcomes in women with unexplained recurrent miscarriage?

### Why this is important

Women with previous pregnancy losses have an increased risk of miscarriage in subsequent pregnancies. Progesterone is essential for maintaining a healthy pregnancy, and there is evidence that it is safe for both women and fetuses.

A recent randomised controlled trial assessed the effectiveness of micronised vaginal progesterone supplementation in women with 3 or more first-trimester losses and did not show a benefit with progesterone therapy use during the first trimester, concluding that there is not enough evidence to support its use in women with unexplained recurrent miscarriage. However, this trial was designed to look for a 10% difference in live birth outcomes in those who received progesterone versus those who did not receive it. A larger randomised controlled trial is needed to determine if there is a smaller difference (for example 2.5% to 5%) which would still lead to a meaningful increase in live births and reduce the trauma of a further miscarriage for a number of women.

## **4 Effectiveness of different progestogens in women at risk of miscarriage**

What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of vaginal micronised progesterone versus other progesterone preparations in improving outcomes in women at risk of miscarriage?

### **Why this is important**

Evidence from a recent randomised controlled trial showed a small but important benefit for the outcome of live birth when vaginal micronised progesterone was given to women with early pregnancy bleeding and a history of one or more previous miscarriages. However, there was not enough evidence available to assess whether other formulations of progesterone would lead to other beneficial outcomes in this group of women. Research is needed to identify whether there is a difference in the effectiveness of micronised versus non-micronised progesterone therapy in women with early pregnancy bleeding and a history of one or more previous miscarriages.

## **5 Management of miscarriage**

In women with confirmed miscarriage, does the type of management strategy (expectant, medical and surgical) impact on women's experience, including psychological and emotional outcomes?

### **Why this is important**

The management of miscarriage in the UK has changed in many ways over the past 2 decades, particularly in the shift from inpatient to outpatient or day case care and the

introduction of medical and expectant management as alternatives to surgery.

Despite these changes there is a lack of research into the effects of these different approaches from the woman's perspective, in particular their psychological and emotional impact. Miscarriage is distressing for most women, and the type of management itself might affect women's need for counselling, with a resulting cost to the NHS. Because of this it is an important area for research.

The deficiency in the literature could be addressed by a comparative study of women having the different management strategies (expectant, medical or surgical) and in a variety of clinical settings (for example, early pregnancy assessment unit, gynaecological ward or gynaecological emergency unit). The data collected could be both quantitative (using validated psychological health questionnaires) and qualitative (focusing particularly on women's experience of the particular type and setting of care).

## **6 Comparison between expectant, medical or surgical management of ectopic pregnancy**

In women with ectopic pregnancy, does the type of intervention impact on women's experience, including psychological and emotional outcomes?

### **Why this is important**

Currently there is no evidence exploring the psychological impact of the different treatments for ectopic pregnancy. However, the emotional impact of the condition can be significant, in some circumstances leading to post-traumatic stress disorder. A qualitative comparative study should be carried out to assess how this impact can be reduced. This would help to maximise women's emotional recovery in the short and long term, enable women and clinicians to decide the optimum treatment method and identify what support is needed for women during and after the process. It could also reduce the cost to the NHS of providing long-term counselling for affected women.

## Finding more information and committee details

To find NICE guidance on related topics, including guidance in development, see the [NICE topic page on pregnancy](#).

For full details of the evidence and the guideline committee's discussions for the 2019, 2021, 2023 and 2026 recommendations, see the [evidence reviews](#). For details of the evidence and the guideline committee's discussions for the 2012 recommendations, see the [full guideline](#). You can also find information about [how the guideline was developed](#), including [details of the committee](#).

NICE has produced [tools and resources to help you put this guideline into practice](#). For general help and advice on putting NICE guidelines into practice, see [resources to help you put guidance into practice](#).

## Update information

**June 2026:** We have reviewed the evidence and made new recommendations on anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis. These recommendations are marked **[2026]**.

In some cases, minor changes have been made to the guideline to bring the language and style up to date, without changing the meaning.

**August 2023:** We have reviewed the evidence and made new and updated recommendations on the medical management of miscarriage. These recommendations are marked **[2012, amended 2023]** or **[2023]**. In some cases, minor changes have been made to recommendations to bring the language and style up to date without changing the meaning.

**November 2021:** We have reviewed the evidence and made new recommendations on the use of progesterone in threatened miscarriage. These recommendations are marked **[2021]**.

We have also made changes without an evidence review:

Recommendation 1.9.1 has been amended to clarify that this applies to women with early pregnancy bleeding but no history of previous miscarriage.

Recommendation 1.11.7 has been amended to advise that women should be provided with a urine pregnancy test.

These recommendations are marked **[2012, amended 2021]**.

**April 2019:** We have reviewed the evidence and made new recommendations on the diagnosis of tubal ectopic pregnancy using ultrasound and expectant management of ectopic pregnancy. These recommendations are marked **[2019]**.

We have also made some changes without an evidence review:

- Recommendation 1.1.2 has had an additional link added to related NICE guidance on antenatal and postnatal health.

- Recommendation 1.2.1 has been updated to bring the wording on obtaining consent in line with other NICE guidance.
- Recommendation 1.4.10 has been updated with extra information covering a wider range of factors so that potential ectopic pregnancies are not missed.
- Some headings have been updated to clarify they only relates to tubal ectopic pregnancy.
- Recommendation 1.6.13 has been updated to reflect the possibility of a pregnancy of unknown location, and a cross reference to advice on pregnancy of unknown location added.
- Recommendation 1.7.6 has been updated to reflect current ultrasound practice.
- Recommendation 1.8.9 has been updated to make it clear the decrease in serum hCG level is less than 50%.
- Recommendation 1.13.1 has been updated to include advice on miscarriage in the ectopic pregnancy section.
- Recommendation 1.14.5 has been changed to reflect current practice and prescribing guidance on methotrexate.

These recommendations are marked **[2012, amended 2019]**.

Recommendations marked **[2012]** last had an evidence review in 2012. In some cases minor changes have been made to the wording to bring the language and style up to date, without changing the meaning.

### **Minor changes since publication**

**June 2025:** We updated recommendations 1.9.2 and 1.9.3 because the recommended use of vaginal micronised progesterone is no longer off label.

**October 2023:** We have clarified advice on which healthcare professional recommendation 1.11.8 refers to.

ISBN: 978-1-4731-9566-0